

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/627,203	07/25/2003	Michael R. Manzano	TPTC-1-1006	2699
	25315 BLACK LOW	25315 7590 10/25/2007 BLACK LOWE & GRAHAM, PLLC		EXAMINER TRUONG, LECHI	
	701 FIFTH AVENUE		•		
	SUITE 4800 SEATTLE, W	'A 98104		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2194	
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				10/25/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/627,203	MANZANO, MICHAEL R.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
LeChi Truong	2194		

	Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
		LeChi Truong	2194					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE	REPLY FILED 12 October 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.					
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) b)	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN							
nave under set fo may r	TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  xtensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee ave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) are forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed hay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
2. [_	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since				
	NDMENTS							
3. 🔼	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);					
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
4 [	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).							
_	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
6. 🗀	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
7. 🛭	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none.		II be entered and an e	explanation of				
	Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-14</u> .							
ΔFFI	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .  DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•		•				
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	at before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and				
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).				
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation UEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.				
11. [	The request for reconsideration has been considered but	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
_	☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). ☐ Other:		WILL TAM THOMSO	ON .				
		SUPEF	WHILIAM THOMSON	EXAMINER				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new claim languages raise new issues which required futher consideration and search.